

Problem set 3
Olivier Debarre

Due Tuesday May 2, 2017

Problem 1. Let k be a field. We consider two copies $U_1 := \text{Spec}(k[T_1])$ and $U_2 := \text{Spec}(k[T_2])$ of the affine line \mathbf{A}_k^1 .

a) Compute the Picard groups of \mathbf{A}_k^1 and $\mathbf{A}_k^1 \setminus \{0\}$ (*Hint*: you may use without proof the fact that if A is a unique factorization domain, the Picard group of $\text{Spec}(A)$ is trivial).

Proof. Since $\mathbf{A}_k^1 = \text{Spec}(k[T])$ and $\mathbf{A}_k^1 \setminus \{0\} := \text{Spec}(k[T, T^{-1}])$ and both rings $k[T]$ and $k[T, T^{-1}]$ are unique factorization domains, their Picard groups are trivial.

b) Let X be the scheme obtained by glueing U_1 and U_2 along the open subsets $U_1 \setminus \{0\} = \text{Spec}(k[T_1, T_1^{-1}])$ and $U_2 \setminus \{0\} = \text{Spec}(k[T_2, T_2^{-1}])$ by the isomorphism $k[T_1, T_1^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\sim} k[T_2, T_2^{-1}]$ of k -algebras sending T_1 to T_2^{-1} . Which scheme is X ?

Answer. The scheme X is the projective line \mathbf{P}_k^1 .

c) Compute the Picard group of X (*Hint*: explain that you may use Leray's theorem to compute $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*)$).

Proof. The scheme X is covered by the affine subsets U_1 and U_2 . Moreover, $H^q(U_i, \mathcal{O}_{U_i}^*) = 0$ for $q \geq 2$ because U_i has dimension 1, and for $q = 1$ because $\text{Pic}(U_i) = \text{Pic}(\mathbf{A}_k^1) = 0$ by question a). Similarly, $H^q(U_1 \cap U_2, \mathcal{O}_{U_1 \cap U_2}^*) = 0$ for $q > 0$ for the same reasons. We may therefore apply Leray's theorem to compute $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*)$ as the cokernel of the map

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(U_1, \mathcal{O}_{U_1}^*) \times \Gamma(U_2, \mathcal{O}_{U_2}^*) &\longrightarrow \Gamma(U_1 \cap U_2, \mathcal{O}_{U_1 \cap U_2}^*) \\ (s_1, s_2) &\longmapsto s_1/s_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{O}_{U_i}^*) = k[T_i]^* = k^*$ and $\Gamma(U_1 \cap U_2, \mathcal{O}_{U_1 \cap U_2}^*) = k[T, T^{-1}]^* = k^* \times \langle T \rangle \simeq k^* \times \mathbf{Z}$, we obtain $\text{Pic}(X) \simeq H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \simeq \mathbf{Z}$.

d) Find the global sections of each invertible sheaf on X .

Proof. Let \mathcal{L}_m be the invertible sheaf on X corresponding to $(1, T_1^m) \in k^* \times \langle T_1 \rangle$. The sections of \mathcal{L}_m on X correspond to pairs (P_1, P_2) , where $P_i \in \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{L}_m) \simeq \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{O}_{U_i}) \simeq k[T_i]$, with $P_1(T_1)/P_2(T_1^{-1}) = T_1^m$. It follows that $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}_m)$ is isomorphic to the space of polynomials in $k[T]$ of degree $\leq m$: if $m \geq 0$, the sections are the pairs $(P(T_1), T_2^m P(T_2^{-1}))$, where $\deg(P) \leq m$.

e) Let Y be the scheme obtained by glueing U_1 and U_2 as in b), but using now the isomorphism $k[T_1, T_1^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\sim} k[T_2, T_2^{-1}]$ that sends T_1 to T_2 . Compute the Picard group of Y (*Hint*: proceed as in c)).

Proof. The same proof as in c) gives $\text{Pic}(Y) \simeq H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y^*) \simeq \mathbf{Z}$.

f) Find the global sections of each invertible sheaf on Y .

Proof. Let \mathcal{L}_m be the invertible sheaf on Y corresponding to $(1, T_1^m) \in k^* \times \langle T_1 \rangle$. The sections of \mathcal{L}_m on Y correspond to pairs (P_1, P_2) , where $P_i \in \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{L}_m) \simeq \Gamma(U_i, \mathcal{O}_{U_i}) \simeq k[T_i]$, with $P_1(T_1)/P_2(T_1) = T_1^m$. It follows that $\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{L}_m) \simeq k[T]$ for all m : if $m \geq 0$, the sections are the pairs $(T_1^m P(T_1), P(T_2))$; if $m \leq 0$, the sections are the pairs $(P(T_1), T_2^{-m} P(T_2))$. In all cases, $\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{L}_m)$ is isomorphic to $k[T]$.

g) Prove that there are no ample invertible sheaves on Y .

Proof. If $m > 0$, the global sections of \mathcal{L}_m all vanish at the origin on U_1 ; if $m < 0$, the global sections of \mathcal{L}_m all vanish at the origin on U_2 . Hence \mathcal{L}_m is never generated by global sections if $m \neq 0$. It follows that \mathcal{L}_m is not ample for any m (apply the definition of ampleness with $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{L}_1$).

Problem 2. Prove that the scheme $Y_n := \mathbf{A}_k^n \setminus \{0\}$ is not an affine scheme for any $n \geq 2$ (*Hint:* use Leray's theorem to compute $H^1(Y_2, \mathcal{O}_{Y_2})$).

Proof. The scheme Y_2 is covered by the affine open subsets $U_1 := \mathbf{A}_k^1 \times_k (\mathbf{A}_k^1 \setminus \{0\}) = \text{Spec}(k[T_1, T_2, T_2^{-1}])$ and $U_2 := (\mathbf{A}_k^1 \setminus \{0\}) \times_k \mathbf{A}_k^1 = \text{Spec}(k[T_1, T_1^{-1}, T_2])$. Since \mathcal{O}_{Y_2} is a coherent sheaf, we can compute $H^1(Y_2, \mathcal{O}_{Y_2})$ using Leray's theorem as the cokernel of the map

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(U_1, \mathcal{O}_{Y_2}^*) \times \Gamma(U_2, \mathcal{O}_{Y_2}^*) &\longrightarrow \Gamma(U_1 \cap U_2, \mathcal{O}_{Y_2}^*) \\ (P_1, P_2) &\longmapsto P_1 - P_2. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma(U_1, \mathcal{O}_{Y_2}^*) &= k[T_1, T_2, T_2^{-1}], \\ \Gamma(U_2, \mathcal{O}_{Y_2}^*) &= k[T_1, T_1^{-1}, T_2], \\ \Gamma(U_1 \cap U_2, \mathcal{O}_{Y_2}^*) &= k[T_1, T_1^{-1}, T_2, T_2^{-1}], \end{aligned}$$

hence $H^1(Y_2, \mathcal{O}_{Y_2})$ is an infinite-dimensional k -vector space with basis $(T_1^m T_2^n)_{m, n < 0}$. In particular, Y_2 is not affine. Since Y_2 is a closed subscheme of Y_n for all $n \geq 2$ and a closed subscheme of an affine scheme is affine, Y_n is also not an affine scheme for all $n \geq 2$.

Problem 3. Let X be a projective scheme over a field and let \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{M} be invertible sheaves on X .

a) If \mathcal{L} is generated by global sections and \mathcal{M} is very ample, the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ is very ample (*Hint:* use a Segre embedding).

Proof. Since \mathcal{L} is generated by global sections, there exists a morphism $u: X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_k^m$ such that $u^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_k^m}(1) = \mathcal{L}$. Since \mathcal{M} is very ample, there exists a closed embedding $v: X \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}_k^n$ such that $v^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_k^n}(1) = \mathcal{M}$. The morphism $(u, v): X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_k^m \times \mathbf{P}_k^n$ is then also a closed embedding (because its composition with the second projection is) and so is the composition

$$w: X \xrightarrow{(u,v)} \mathbf{P}_k^m \times \mathbf{P}_k^n \xrightarrow{\text{Segre}} \mathbf{P}_k^{(m+1)(n+1)-1}.$$

Since $w^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}_k^{(m+1)(n+1)-1}}(1) = \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}$, this proves that $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ is very ample.

b) If \mathcal{M} is ample, the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\otimes r}$ is very ample for all sufficiently large integers r (*Hint:* we proved in class that $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\otimes r}$ is ample for some integer $r > 0$).

Proof. Since \mathcal{M} is ample, there exists an integer r_0 such that $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\otimes r}$ is generated by global sections for all $r \geq r_0$, and there exists an integer s_0 such that $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes s_0}$ is very ample. For any $r \geq r_0 + s_0$, the invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\otimes r} = \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\otimes (r-s_0)} \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\otimes s_0}$ is then very ample by a).