

# Fake projective spaces and fake tori

Olivier DEBARRE

École normale supérieure, Paris

Institute for Basic Science, Pohang, October 18, 2016

# Hirzebruch and Kodaira's theorem

## Theorem (Hirzebruch–Kodaira)

*If a compact Kähler manifold is homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{CP}^n$ , it is biholomorphic to  $\mathbf{CP}^n$ .*

## Sketch of proof

Since  $X$  is compact Kähler, the Hodge numbers  $h^{p,q}(X)$  can be computed from the Betti numbers

# Skof of

---

## Sketch of proof

Since this number is 1, we have

$$\binom{n+s}{n} = 1$$

and

- either  $s = 0$ ,  $c_1(X) = (n+1)L$ , and  $X$  is a Fano variety;
- or  $s = -n-1$ ,  $n$  is even,  $c_1(X) = -(n+1)L$ , and  $X$  is of general type.

In the first case,  $X$  is biholomorphic to  $\mathbf{CP}^n$  (Kobayashi–Ochiai).

## Sketch of proof

In the second case,  $X$  carries a Kähler–Einstein metric  $\omega$  with  $\text{Ric}(\omega) = -\omega$  (Aubin–Yau). Moreover (Yau),

$$(-1)^n \left( \frac{2(n+1)}{n} c_2(X) - c_1^2(X) \right) \cdot c_1^{n-2}(X) \geq 0.$$

Using the known values for  $c_1(X)$  and  $p_1(X)$ , the left side vanishes.

This implies that  $(X, \omega)$  has constant negative holomorphic curvature, hence is covered by the unit ball in  $\mathbf{C}^n$ .

Since  $X$  is simply connected (and compact), this is impossible.

What if we only assume that  $X$  has the same integral cohomology ring as  $\mathbf{CP}^n$ ?

Theorem (Fujita, Libgober–Wood)

*A compact Kähler manifold with same integral cohomology ring as  $\mathbf{CP}^n$  ( $n \leq 6$ ) is*

- *either isomorphic to  $\mathbf{CP}^n$ ;*
- *or a quotient of the unit balls  $\mathbf{B}^4$  or  $\mathbf{B}^6$ .*

No quotients of  $\mathbf{B}^{2m}$  with the same integral cohomology rings as  $\mathbf{CP}^{2m}$  are known (all examples have torsion in  $H^2$ ).

Question

Is a compact Kähler manifold with same integral cohomology ring as  $\mathbf{CP}^n$  isomorphic to  $\mathbf{CP}^n$ ?

## What if we drop the Kähler assumption?

$n = 1$ : a compact complex manifold with same integral cohomology groups as  $\mathbf{CP}^1$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{CP}^1$ .

$n = 2$ : a compact complex manifold with same integral cohomology groups as  $\mathbf{CP}^2$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{CP}^2$  (even first Betti number implies Kähler).

$n = 3$ : answer unknown. Same Betti numbers and simply connected is not enough (quadrics in  $\mathbf{CP}^4$ ).



Complex structure on  $\mathbf{S}^6$ 

If  $\mathbf{S}^6$  has a complex structure (non-Kähler since  $b_2(\mathbf{S}^6) = 0$ ), its blow-up  $X$  at a point satisfies

$$X \underset{\text{diff}}{\sim} \mathbf{S}^6 \# \overline{\mathbf{CP}^3} \underset{\text{diff}}{\sim} \overline{\mathbf{CP}^3} \underset{\text{diff}}{\sim} \mathbf{CP}^3.$$

However,  $c_1(\mathbf{S}^6) = 0$  (because  $b_2(\mathbf{S}^6) = 0$ ), hence  $c_1(X) = -2E$  and  $c_1(X)^3 = -8$ , whereas  $c_1(\mathbf{CP}^3)^3 = 4^3$ , hence  $X$  is not biholomorphic to  $\mathbf{CP}^3$ .

Conclusion: if the theorem holds without the Kähler assumption, there is no complex structure on  $\mathbf{S}^6$ .

## Catanese's theorem

If a compact Kähler manifold is homeomorphic to a complex torus, is it biholomorphic to a complex torus? We have more!

## Theorem (Catanese)

*Let  $X$  be a compact Kähler manifold such that there is a ring isomorphism*

$$\bigwedge^\bullet H^1(X, \mathbf{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^\bullet(X, \mathbf{Z}).$$

*Then  $X$  is biholomorphic to a complex torus.*

## Sketch of proof

Since  $X$  is Kähler, the Albanese map  $a_X: X \rightarrow A_X$  induces an isomorphism

$$a_X^{*1}: H^1(A_X, \mathbf{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(X, \mathbf{Z}).$$

The hypothesis then implies that  $a_X^*: H^\bullet(A_X, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^\bullet(X, \mathbf{Z})$  is also an isomorphism.

Set  $n := \dim(X)$ ; since  $a_X^{*2n}: H^{2n}(A_X, \mathbf{Z}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^{2n}(X, \mathbf{Z})$  is an isomorphism,  $a_X$  is birational.

Since we have an isomorphism of the whole cohomology rings and  $X$  is Kähler,  $a_X$  contracts no subvarieties of  $X$  and is therefore finite. Thus,  $a_X$  is an isomorphism.

*Note:* in dimensions  $\geq 3$ , the hypothesis “ $X$  Kähler” is necessary for the conclusion to hold.

## Catanese's question

Assume that the compact Kähler manifold  $X$  is a *rational cohomology torus*, i.e., there is an isomorphism

$$\bigwedge^\bullet H^1(X, \mathbf{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^\bullet(X, \mathbf{Q}).$$

of graded  $\mathbf{Q}$ -algebras. Is  $X$  biholomorphic to a complex torus?

The answer is NO! But one can still describe the structure of rational cohomology tori and produce “exotic” examples.

*Note:* the Albanese map  $a_X: X \rightarrow A_X$  is still surjective and finite.

The rest of this presentation is joint work with Zhi Jiang and Martí Lahoz.

# A rational cohomology torus which is not a torus

$C$  curve of genus  $\geq 2$  with an involution  $\tau$  such that  $g(C/\tau) = 1$ .

$E$  elliptic curve with an involution  $\sigma$  such that  $g(E/\sigma) = 1$ .

$X = (C \times E)/(\tau \times \sigma)$ , smooth surface, is a rational cohomology torus, but not a torus.

Its Albanese map  $a_X: X \rightarrow (C/\tau) \times (E/\sigma)$  has degree 2.

Equivalently, the image of  $\bigwedge^4 H^1(X, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^4(X, \mathbf{Z}) \simeq \mathbf{Z}$  has index 2.

One checks that  $X$  is a rational cohomology torus if and only if there is a finite morphism  $f: X \rightarrow A$  to a torus such that

$$f^*: H^\bullet(A, \mathbf{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^\bullet(X, \mathbf{Q}).$$

## Kawamata's theorem

Given  $f: X \rightarrow A$  finite, there are (Kawamata)

- a subtorus  $K$  of  $A$ ,
- a normal projective variety  $Y$ ,

and a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{I_X \text{ Iitaka fibration}} & Y \\
 f \downarrow & & \downarrow g \text{ finite} \\
 A & \longrightarrow & A/K,
 \end{array}$$

so that  $\dim(Y) = \kappa(X)$ , and  $X = Y$  if and only if  $X$  is of general type. General fibers of  $I_X$  are tori which are étale covers of  $K$ .

Then,  $X$  is a rational cohomology torus if and only if  $Y$  is a (possibly singular) rational cohomology torus.

## litaka torus towers

One may then repeat the construction for a rational cohomology torus  $X$  and obtain

$$X \xrightarrow{I_X} X_1 \xrightarrow{I_{X_1}} X_2 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow X_{k-1} \xrightarrow{I_{X_{k-1}}} X_k,$$

with finite morphisms  $f_i: X_i \rightarrow A_i$  to quotient tori of  $A$ , and

- either  $X_k$  is a rational cohomology torus of general type of positive dimension;
- or  $X_k$  is a point, in which case we call  $X$  an *litaka torus tower*.

New question: *are there rational cohomology tori of general type of positive dimension?* or equivalently, *are all rational cohomology tori litaka torus towers?*



# Rational cohomology tori of general type

The answer is (unfortunately) YES! There rational cohomology tori of general type of any dimension  $\geq 3$ , but they must be singular.

## Theorem (Sawin)

*Let  $X \rightarrow A$  be a finite morphism from a smooth complex projective variety of general type to an abelian variety. Then*

$$(-1)^{\dim(X)} \chi_{\text{top}}(X) > 0.$$

*In particular,  $X$  is not a rational cohomology torus.*

## Sketch of proof

The pull-back to  $X$  of a general 1-form on  $A$  vanishes along a finite scheme, whose length is therefore  $c_n(\Omega_X) = (-1)^n \chi_{\text{top}}(X)$ , where  $n := \dim(X)$ .

Since  $X$  is of general type, any 1-form on  $X$  vanishes at some point (Popa–Schnell), hence the result.

# A singular rational cohomology torus of general type of dimension 3

For each  $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , consider Cartesian diagrams of double covers

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 C'_j & \xrightarrow{\quad / \sigma_j \quad} & C_j \\
 / \tau_j \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 E'_j & \xrightarrow{\quad \text{étale} \quad} & E_j
 \end{array}$$

where  $E_j$  is an elliptic curve. Then,

$$C'_1 \times C'_2 \times C'_3 / \langle \text{id}_1 \times \tau_2 \times \sigma_3, \sigma_1 \times \text{id}_2 \times \tau_3, \tau_1 \times \sigma_2 \times \text{id}_3, \tau_1 \times \tau_2 \times \tau_3 \rangle$$

is of general type, has rational isolated singularities, and is a rational cohomology torus.

Building on this example, we can produce, in all dimensions  $\geq 4$ , smooth rational cohomology tori that are not litaka torus towers.

# Conclusion

We “reduced” the classification of rational cohomology tori to the classification of possibly singular rational cohomology tori of general type.

This seems a hard task. Here are a couple of facts that we can prove:

- the degree of the Albanese map  $a_X$  is divisible by the square of a prime number;
- the number of simple factors of  $A_X$  is greater than the smallest prime number that divides  $\deg(a_X)$ .

In the example,  $\deg(a_X) = 4$  and  $A_X$  is the product of 3 elliptic curves.