

## Content of the beginning of the course

Definition of valuations on fields: a valued field is a field  $K$ , together with a map  $v : K \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$ , where  $\Gamma$  is an ordered abelian group, and  $v$  satisfies:  $v(x) = \infty$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ;  $v(ab) = v(a) + v(b)$ ;  $v(a + b) \geq \inf\{v(a), v(b)\}$ .

$\mathcal{O}_v = \{a \in K \mid v(a) \geq 0\}$  is a subring of  $K$ , with maximal ideal  $\mathcal{M}_v = \{a \in K \mid v(a) > 0\}$ . We define  $k_v$  or  $k_K$ , the *residue field* to be  $\mathcal{O}_v/\mathcal{M}_v$ , and let  $\text{res} : \mathcal{O}_v \rightarrow k_v$  be the natural projection.  $\mathcal{O}_v$  is a *valuation ring*: if  $a \in K \setminus \mathcal{O}_v$  then  $a^{-1} \in \mathcal{O}_v$ .

Define  $a|b \iff v(a) \leq v(b)$  ( $\iff b/a \in \mathcal{O}_v$ ). Then from  $|$  (pronounced “div”), or from  $\mathcal{O}_v$ , one can recover the valuation (up to equivalence). Indeed,

$$K^\times/\mathcal{O}_v^\times \simeq \Gamma,$$

(Here  $\mathcal{O}_v^\times$  denotes the invertible elements of  $\mathcal{O}_v$ ), and the ordering on the quotient is defined by  $a\mathcal{O}_v^\times \leq b\mathcal{O}_v^\times$  if and only if  $b/a \in \mathcal{O}_v$ , if and only if  $a|b$ . Noting that  $a \in \mathcal{O}_v$  if and only if  $a|1$ , one gets the result.

**Examples** of valued fields.

The valuations on  $\mathbb{Q}$  (trivial, or  $p$ -adic);

The valuations on  $k(T)$  which are trivial on the field  $K$ ;

If  $\Gamma$  is an ordered abelian group, define  $k[\Gamma]$  to be the set of formal sums  $f = \sum_{g \in \Gamma} a_g g$ , with  $\text{supp}(f) = \{g \mid a_g \neq 0\}$  finite. Then  $k[\Gamma]$  is a domain, let  $k(\Gamma)$  be its field of fractions. Define  $v(f) = \min \text{supp}(f)$ , for  $f \in k[\Gamma]$ , and extend to  $k(\Gamma)$ .

Definition of well-ordered set. Some words about ordinals and cardinals, and their operations. Transfinite induction.

Fields of power series  $k((T))$ ; If  $\Gamma$  is an ordered abelian group,  $k$  a field, we define the *field of generalised power series*  $k((t^\Gamma))$  as the set of formal sums  $f = \sum_{g \in \Gamma} a_g t^g$ , with  $\text{supp}(g)$  **well-ordered**.

So,  $k((t)) = k((t^\mathbb{Z}))$ . However,  $k((t^\mathbb{Q}))$  strictly contains  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} k((t^{1/n}))$ .

Definition of the topology on the valued field  $(K, v)$ : given  $a \in K$  and  $\gamma$  in  $\Gamma$  define the “open ball”  $B(a, \gamma)$  [“closed ball”  $\bar{B}(a, \gamma)$ ] of center  $a$  and (valuative) radius  $\gamma$  by

$$B(a, \gamma) = \{x \in K \mid v(x - a) > \gamma\}, \quad \bar{B}(a, \gamma) = \{x \in K \mid v(x - a) \geq \gamma\}.$$

Note that any two balls are either disjoint, or one contains the others. A closed ball is the union of the open balls it contains, and is therefore also open (despite its name). In fact .... all balls are **open and closed**. They can also be described as  $B(a, \gamma) = a + c\mathcal{M}_v$  and  $\bar{B}(a, \gamma) = a + c\mathcal{O}_v$ , where  $c$  is any element with  $v(c) = \gamma$ . They form the basis of open sets for a topology on  $K$ : the open sets of  $K$  are the unions of balls.

**Extensions of valuations.** Let  $(K, v)$  be a valued field,  $L$  an overfield of  $K$ . Then  $v$  extends to a valuation on  $L$ . If  $L$  is a Galois extension of  $K$ , then all valuations extending  $v$  are conjugate: if  $w_1, w_2$  extend  $v$ , then there is  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(L/K)$  such that  $w_1 = w_2 \circ \sigma$ . Equivalently,

$$\mathcal{O}_{w_1} = \sigma^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_{w_2}).$$

Furthermore, if  $R$  is the *integral closure* of  $\mathcal{O}_v$  in  $L$  (the set of elements of  $L$  which satisfy some monic polynomial equation over  $\mathcal{O}_v$ , which is a subring), then the extension  $w$  of  $v$  is determined by  $P := \mathcal{M}_w \cap R$ , and one has  $\mathcal{O}_w = R_P$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_w = PR_P$ .

**Theorem.** *Consider the language  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{div}} = \{+, -, \cdot, 0, 1, |\}$ , and the theory ACVF of non-trivially valued algebraically closed fields. Then ACVF eliminates quantifiers.*

Thus the complete theories extending ACVF are obtained by specifying the characteristic of the field and of its residue field. One writes  $\text{ACVF}_{0,0}$ ,  $\text{ACVF}_{0,p}$  and  $\text{ACVF}_{p,p}$ .

Assume  $L/K$  finite algebraic,  $(K, v)$  a valued field.

Let  $w$  extend  $v$ . Then  $e(L/K, w) := [w(L^\times) : v(K^\times)]$  is finite (index of *ramification*),  $f(L/K, w) := [k_L : k_K]$  is finite, and  $e(L/K)f(L/K) \leq [L : K]$ .

Assume  $L/K$  Galois over  $K$ , let  $G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ , fix a valuation  $w$  on  $L$  extending  $v$ , and define  $G_{\text{dec}} = \{\sigma \in G \mid \sigma(\mathcal{O}_w) = \mathcal{O}_w\}$  (the *decomposition subgroup* of  $w$ ), and let  $L^{\text{dec}}$  be the subfield of  $L$  fixed by  $G_{\text{dec}}$ . Then  $w$  is the only extension of  $w|_{L^{\text{dec}}}$  to  $L$ . One shows that  $L^{\text{dec}}$  is an *immediate* extension of  $K$  (i.e., neither the value group nor the residue field increase). Furthermore, a theorem of Ostrowski tells you (in case  $L/K$  is finite) that

$$[L : K] = [G : G_{\text{dec}}]e(L/K)f(L/K)\chi^d,$$

where  $\chi = 1$  if the residue field has characteristic 0, and equals the characteristic of the residue field otherwise.

**Henselian fields:** a valued field  $K$  is *Henselian* if whenever  $f(T) \in \mathcal{O}_v[T]$  and  $a \in \mathcal{O}_v$  are such that  $v(f(a)) > 0 = v(f'(a))$ , then there is  $b \in \mathcal{O}_v$ , with  $v(b - a) = v(f(a))$ , such that  $f(b) = 0$ . The condition on  $v(b - a) = v(f(a))$  can be replaced by  $v(b - a) > 0$ . Looking at the Taylor expansion (see below) will give that necessarily one will have  $v(b - a) = v(f(a))$ .

**Theorem.** Complete valued fields with an archimedean value group are Henselian.

**Theorem.** Let  $(K, v)$  be a valued field. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $K$  is Henselian.
- (2) The valuation  $v$  has a unique extension to  $K^{\text{sep}}$  (the *separable closure* of  $K$ , i.e., the elements of an algebraic closure of  $K$  whose minimal polynomial is separable).
- (3) Let  $f(T) \in \mathcal{O}_v[T]$  be monic, and assume that there are  $g(T), h(T) \in \mathcal{O}_v[T]$  such that  $\text{res}(f)(T) = \text{res}(g)(T)\text{res}(h)(T)$ , and  $\text{res}(g), \text{res}(h)$  are relatively prime. Then there are  $\tilde{g}(T), \tilde{h}(T) \in \mathcal{O}_v[T]$  such that  $f = \tilde{g}\tilde{h}$ .
- (4) (Hensel-Rychlik) Let  $f(T) \in \mathcal{O}[T]$  and assume that  $v(f(0)) > 2v(f'(0))$ . Then there is  $a$  with  $f(a) = 0$  and  $v(a) = v(f(0)) - v(f'(0))$ .

**Corollary.** An algebraic extension of a Henselian field is Henselian.

If  $(K, v)$  is a valued field, choose an extension  $w$  of  $v$  to  $K^{\text{sep}}$ , let  $G_{\text{dec}}$  be the decomposition

group of  $w$ , and  $K^h$  the subfield of  $K^{sep}$  fixed by  $G_{dec}$ . Then  $K^h$  is Henselian, and in fact every Henselian field extending  $(K, v)$  contains a  $k$ -isomorphic copy of  $K^h$ . One calls  $K^h$  the *henselization* of  $K$ .

**Taylor expansion:** if  $f(X)$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$ , define the polynomials  $D_i(f)(X)$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq n$  by

$$f(X + Y) = \sum_{i=0}^n D_i(f)(X)Y^i.$$

When the characteristic is 0,  $D_i(f)$  is simply the  $i$ -th derivative of  $f$  divided by  $i!$ . Not so in positive characteristic  $p$ :  $(X + Y)^p = X^p + Y^p$ .

So, this gives:  $f(b) = f(a) + f'(a)(b - a) + \sum_{i \geq 2} D_i(f)(a)(b - a)^i$ , the *Taylor expansion of  $f$  near  $a$* .

## References

- [1] Z. Chatzidakis, notes in French of a course taught in 2008.  
<http://www.math.ens.fr/~zchatzid/papiers/cours08.pdf>
- [2] Antonio J. Engler, Alexander Prestel, *Valued fields*, Springer monographs in Mathematics, Berlin (2005).
- [3] I. Kaplansky, Maximal fields with valuations, *Duke Math. J.* 9, (1942), 303 – 321.

## Course of June 2

Some results on arithmetic of cardinals: if  $\kappa, \lambda$  are infinite cardinals then:

$$\kappa + \lambda := \text{card}(\kappa \cup \lambda) = \sup\{\kappa, \lambda\}$$

$$\kappa \times \lambda := \text{card}(\kappa \times \lambda) = \sup\{\kappa, \lambda\}$$

$$\kappa < 2^\kappa = \kappa^\kappa; \text{ where } 2^\kappa \text{ is the cardinality of the set of maps } \kappa \rightarrow \{0, 1\}.$$

If  $\text{card}(A) = \kappa$ , then the set  $\mathcal{P}_f(A)$  of finite subsets of  $A$  has cardinality  $\kappa$ , as does the set of finite sequences of elements of  $A$ .

So, in particular, if  $\mathcal{L}$  is a countable language, then there are  $\aleph_0$  formulas, and the size of an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure generated by a subset  $A$  has cardinality  $\aleph_0 + \text{card}(A)$ .

Similarly, if  $\mathcal{L}$  has cardinality  $\kappa$ , then there are  $\kappa$   $\mathcal{L}$ -formulas.

**Definition 1.** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a countable language,  $M$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure,  $A \subset M$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\kappa$  an infinite cardinal.

- (1) Recall that  $\mathcal{L}(A)$  is obtained by adding new constant symbols for all elements of  $A$ .
- (2) A (*complete*)  $n$ -*type* over  $A$  is a set  $\Sigma(x)$  of  $\mathcal{L}(A)$ -formulas in the variables  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  (for instance), which is finitely *satisfiable* in  $M$  (if  $\Sigma_0$  is a finite subset of  $\Sigma$ , then  $M \models \exists x \bigwedge_{\varphi \in \Sigma_0} \varphi(x)$ ) and maximal such.  
Example: let  $a$  be an  $n$ -tuple in  $M$ , and define the *type of  $a$  over  $A$* ,

$$tp(a/A) = \{\varphi(x) \in \mathcal{L}(A) \mid M \models \varphi(a).\}$$

- (3) The model  $M$  is  $\kappa$ -*saturated* if whenever  $A \subset M$  has cardinality  $< \kappa$ , then any  $n$ -type over  $A$  is realised in  $M$ , i.e., there is an  $n$ -tuple  $a$  in  $M$  which satisfies all the formulas in the type.

**Theorem 2.** Let  $\kappa$  be a cardinal. Every  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure has an elementary extension which is  $\kappa$ -saturated.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a non-principal ultrafilter on the countable set  $I$ , and for each  $i \in I$ , let  $M_i$  be an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure, where  $\mathcal{L}$  is countable. Then  $M^* := \prod_{i \in I} M_i / \mathcal{U}$  is  $\aleph_1$ -saturated.

Observe that if the  $M_i$ 's are countable and the continuum hypothesis holds (i.e.:  $2^{\aleph_0} = \aleph_1$ , where  $\aleph_1$  denotes the smallest cardinal larger than  $\aleph_0 = \text{card}(\mathbb{N})$ ), then also  $\text{card}(M^*) = \aleph_1$ .

**The three-sorted language of Johan Pas.** Recall that to a valued field  $(K, v)$ , we associate the 3-sorted structure  $\mathcal{K} = (K, \Gamma_K, k_K)$ : a structure with three sorts: the Valued Field sort (VF), the Value Group sort (VG) and the Residue Field sort (RF). The two fields come equipped with the language of rings  $\{+, -, \cdot, 0, 1\}$ , the value group with the language  $\{+, 0, <, \infty\}$ . In addition we have the valuation  $v : K \rightarrow \Gamma_K \cup \{\infty\}$ , and an *angular component map*  $\underline{ac} : K \rightarrow k_K$ , which is multiplicative on  $K^\times$ , sends 0 to 0, and on  $\mathcal{O}_v^\times$  coincides with the residue map. I will denote this language by  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Pas}}$ . (Since not all fields have an angular component map, sometimes one instead considers the partially defined map  $\text{res} : \mathcal{O}_v \rightarrow k$ .)

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $(K, v)$  be an  $\aleph_1$ -saturated valued field. Then there is a group homomorphism  $s : \Gamma_K \rightarrow K^\times$  such that  $v \circ s = \text{id}$ .*

*Hence, the field can be equipped with an angular component map, by defining  $\text{ac}(0) = 0$ , and for  $x \neq 0$ ,  $\text{ac}(x) = x \cdot \text{res}(xs(v(x))^{-1})$ .*

Let  $T_{0,0}$  be the theory in  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Pas}}$  which expresses the following property of the structure  $\mathcal{K} = (K, \Gamma_K, k_K)$ :

$K$  and  $k_K$  are fields of characteristic 0,  $\Gamma$  is an ordered abelian group, and  $\infty$  is larger than all elements of  $\Gamma_K$ , with  $a + \infty = \infty = \infty + \infty$  for  $a \in \Gamma_K$ . Furthermore,  $v$  defines a (non-trivial) Henselian valuation on  $K$ , with value group  $\Gamma_K$ . The map  $\text{ac}$  satisfies  $\text{ac}(0) = 0$ , and defines a multiplicative homomorphism from  $K^\times$  onto  $k_K^\times$ , which on  $\mathcal{O}^\times$  coincides with  $\text{res}$ : if  $a, b, a + b \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ , then  $\text{ac}(a + b) = \text{ac}(a) + \text{ac}(b)$ ; if  $u \in \mathcal{M}_v$ , then  $\text{ac}(1 + u) = 1$  (is it enough?).

**Theorem 5.** *The theory  $T_{0,0}$  eliminates the quantifiers of sort VF: every formula of the language is equivalent modulo  $T_{0,0}$  to a formula without any quantification on the variables of the Valued Field sort.*

**Corollary 6.** *Let  $(K, v)$  and  $(L, v)$  be Henselian valued fields of residual characteristic 0. Then*

$$K \equiv L \iff k_K \equiv k_L \text{ and } \Gamma_K \equiv \Gamma_L.$$

*If furthermore  $(K, v) \subset (L, w)$  then*

$$K \prec L \iff k_K \prec k_L \text{ and } \Gamma_K \prec \Gamma_L.$$

We consider the set  $\Delta$  of  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Pas}}$ -formulas, defined as the smallest set closed under  $\wedge$ ,  $\vee$  and  $\neg$ , (and logical equivalence), which contains all the quantifier-free formulas, and all the formulas of the form  $\varphi(v(t(x), \xi), \psi(\text{ac}(t(x)), \bar{y}))$  where  $x$  is a tuple of variables of sort VF,  $\xi$  a tuple of variables of sort VG and  $\bar{y}$  a tuple of variables of sort RF;  $t$  is a tuple of terms (=polynomials) in  $x$ , and  $\varphi$  is a formula of the VG-language  $\{+, 0, < \infty\}$ ,  $\psi$  a formula of the language of rings.

So, we need to show that every formula is equivalent, modulo  $T_{0,0}$ , to a formula in  $\Delta$ .

**Criterion for quantifier-elimination down to  $\Delta$ .**

Whenever we have  $\mathcal{M} = (M, \Gamma_M, k_M)$  and  $\mathcal{N} = (N, \Gamma_N, k_N)$  two  $\aleph_1$ -saturated models of  $T_{0,0}$ ,  $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{M}$ , and  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{N}$  two countable substructures, and an  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Pas}}$ -isomorphism  $f : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  which respects the formulas of  $\Delta$ , then for  $a \in M$ , there is an  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{Pas}}$ -isomorphism  $g$  which extends  $f$ , has domain containing  $a$ , and image contained in  $\mathcal{N}$ , and which respects the formulas in  $\Delta$ .