

## Course of June 15

Recall that a basis of the topology on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is given by the open sets  $B(a, \gamma) = \{b \mid v(b-a) > \gamma\}$ , which are in fact open and closed. As  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is Hausdorff compact (it is the closed subset of  $\prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$  consisting of sequences  $(a_n)_n$ , with  $0 \leq a_n < p^n$ , and  $a_{n+1} \equiv a_n$  modulo  $p^n$ .) So  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  is locally compact, and can be equipped with a Haar measure, on the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the basic open sets. It is normalised by setting  $\mu(\mathbb{Z}_p) = 1$ ; the fact that is stable under translation, i.e., that if  $B$  is in the  $\sigma$ -algebra, then  $\mu(a+B) = \mu(B)$ , means that it is uniquely defined on the basic open sets, and therefore on  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Indeed,  $\mu(B(a, \gamma)) = \mu(B(0, \gamma)) = \mu(p^{\gamma+1}\mathbb{Z}_p) = p^{-\gamma-1}$  for  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

One also considers the product measure on  $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$  (which is a Haar measure).

A valued field  $(K, v)$  whose value group is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{R}$  can also be equipped with an *absolute value*. Choose a real  $r$  with  $0 < r < 1$ , and define  $|x|_v = r^{v(x)}$ . So the elements of  $\mathcal{O}_v$  are the elements which satisfy  $|x|_v \leq 1$ ; and define  $|0|_v = 0$ . For  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  it is customary to choose  $r = p^{-1}$ . For finite extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  there are other “classical” choices for  $r$ . Note that infinite algebraic extensions of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  are not locally compact.

If  $f : K^n \rightarrow K$ , one can then consider  $\int_B |f(x)|_p d\mu$ . If  $B$  is contained in a compact (whence  $\mu(B) < \infty$ ) and  $|f(x)|_p$  is bounded on  $B$ , then this integral, if defined, will be finite.

### The two Poincaré series

Let  $f_1(X), \dots, f_r(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[X]$  ( $X$  an  $m$ -tuple). If  $n \in \mathbb{N}^{>0}$ , let

$$\tilde{N}_n = \text{Card}\{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^m \mid \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \models f_1(a) = f_2(a) = \dots = f_r(a) = 0\}$$

and

$$N_n = \text{Card}\{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^m \mid \exists b \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n f_1(b) = f_2(b) = \dots = f_r(b) = 0 \wedge \pi_n(b) = a\}$$

where  $\pi_n$  is the natural map  $\mathbb{Z}_p^m \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^m$ . We then define

$$\tilde{P}(T) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{N}_n T^n \quad \text{and} \quad P(T) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} N_n T^n.$$

So both series are in  $\mathbb{Z}[[T]]$ . Igusa and Meuser have shown that  $P(T) \in \mathbb{Q}(T)$ , and Denef, with another proof, that both of them are. Reference: J. Denef, *The rationality of the Poincaré series associated to the  $p$ -adic points on a variety*, *Inventiones Math.* 77 (1984), 1 – 23.

If  $\varphi(x)$  is a formula of the language of rings, define  $\tilde{N}_{\varphi, n} = \text{Card}(\{a \in (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})^m \mid \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \models \varphi(a)\})$  and  $N_{\varphi, n}$  the cardinality of the image by  $\pi_n$  of the subset of  $\mathbb{Z}_p^m$  defined by  $\varphi$ . Again, one can consider the series

$$\tilde{P}_{\varphi}(T) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{N}_{\varphi, n} T^n \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\varphi}(T) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} N_{\varphi, n} T^n.$$

What makes things work is the following

**Lemma 24.** *Let  $\varphi(x)$  be a formula,  $P_\varphi(T)$  as above. Let*

$$D = \{(x, w) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{m+1} \mid \exists y \in \mathbb{Z}_p^m \ v(x - y) \geq v(w) \wedge \varphi(y)\}.$$

*For  $s \in \mathbb{R}^{s>0}$ , let*

$$I(s) = \int_D |w|_p^s |dx| |dw|.$$

*Then*

$$I(s) = \frac{p-1}{p} P_\varphi(p^{-m-1} p^{-s}).$$

The proof can be found in my notes, or in Denef's paper. It allows to compute  $P_\varphi(T)$ , if one can show that  $I(s) = R(p^{-s})$  for some  $R(T) \in \mathbb{Q}(T)$ . Note that  $p^{-m-1}$  is a constant, and one then has  $P_\varphi(T) = R(p^{m+1}T)$ . A similar trick allows to compute  $\tilde{P}_\varphi(T)$ : note that  $\pi_{v(w)}(z) = 0$  corresponds to  $v(x) \geq v(w)$ , and find a formula  $\psi(x, w)$  (of the language of rings) such that for any  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{m+1}$ ,  $\mathbb{Z}_p/b\mathbb{Z}_p \models \varphi(\pi_{v(b)}(a))$  if and only if  $\mathbb{Z}_p \models \psi(a, b)$ .

The rationality of all these series will then follow from

**Theorem 25.** *Let  $S \subset \mathbb{Q}_p^m$  a definable set which is contained in a compact, and  $h : S \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$  a definable function such that  $|h(x)|_p$  is bounded on  $S$ . Let  $e \in \mathbb{N}^{\geq 1}$  be such that for all  $x \in S$ ,  $v(h(x)) \in e\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ . Then*

$$Z(s) = \int_D |h(x)|^{s/e} |dx|$$

*is a rational function of  $p^{-s}$  (for  $s \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ ). I.e., there is  $Q(T) \in \mathbb{Q}(T)$  such that  $Z(s) = Q(p^{-s})$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ .*

The proof is long, and uses several ingredients. The one maybe most important, besides the quantifier elimination that we proved (recall:  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  eliminates quantifiers in the language  $\{+, -, \cdot, 0, 1, P_n\}_n$ ), is a cell decomposition theorem, which allows to "prepare" the functions. Also, another lemma which tells you what definable functions  $K^m \rightarrow \Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$  (the value group of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ) look like. (Since we are not interested in the actual  $p$ -adic number  $h(x)$ , only in its absolute value). Here are the ingredients:

**Lemma 26.** *Let  $S \subset \mathbb{Q}_p^m$  be definable, and  $\theta : S \rightarrow \Gamma$  is definable. Then there is a finite partition of  $S$  into sets, such that on each set  $A$  of the partition there are an integer  $e \geq 1$ , and  $f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[x]$  such that for all  $x \in A$ ,*

$$\theta(x) = \frac{1}{e} (v(f(x)) - v(g(x))).$$

This is not very hard to prove. It works for all the Henselian valued fields we consider, basically by "stable embeddedness". What is much harder to prove, is the following:

**Theorem 27.** Let  $f_i(X, T) \in \mathbb{Q}_p[X, T]$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ ,  $T$  a single variable, and  $n > 0$  an integer. Then there is a finite partition of  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{m+1}$  into subsets  $A$  of the form

$$\{(a, t) \in \mathbb{Q}_p^{m+1} \mid a \in C, v(a_1(a)) \prec_1 v(t - c(a)) \prec_2 v(a_2(a))\}, \quad (1)$$

where  $C \subset \mathbb{Q}_p^m$  is definable,  $\prec_1$  and  $\prec_2$  are  $\leq$  or  $<$ , the functions  $a_1(x)$ ,  $a_2(x)$  and  $c(x)$  are definable :  $\mathbb{Q}_p^m \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$ , and for all  $(a, t) \in A$ , and  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , we have

$$f_i(a, t) = u_i(a, t)^n h_i(a) (t - c(a))^{e_i} \quad (2),$$

with  $v(u_i(a, t)) = 0$ , the functions  $h_i$  and  $u_i$  are definable, and  $e_i \in \mathbb{N}$ . We allow the left-hand-side condition to be empty, “ $v(a_1(a)) = -\infty$ ”.

The hard thing is to get the centers to be all the same. These are manipulations, with many cases, comparing values. And of course it uses (or redoes in a way) quantifier elimination. I will now “sketch” the proof. It is by induction on  $m$ , and for  $m = 0$  there is nothing to prove. We assume the result proved for  $m$ , try to prove it for  $m + 1$ . First of all, by the Lemma, we may replace our definable function  $|h(x, t)|_p^e$  by  $|g_1(x)/g_2(x)|_p^{1/e'}$ . Second, we look at  $S$ . By qe it can be expressed as a finite disjoint union of sets  $B$  defined by formulas of the form

$$x \in C \wedge \bigwedge_i f'_i(x, t) = 0 \wedge \bigwedge_i P_n^*(f_i(x, t))$$

where  $C$  is definable, the  $f'_i$  and  $f_i$  are polynomials over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ , and  $P_n^*(x) \iff P_n(x) \wedge x \neq 0$ . So that  $x \neq 0 \iff P_n^*(x^n)$  holds. We are going to compute  $\int_C (\int_{(x,t) \in B} |g_1(x, t)/g_2(x, t)|_p^{s/e'} |dt|) |dx|$ . We may delete from  $S$  a set of measure 0, and therefore assume that each of the sets in our partition involves no equality, is of the form

$$x \in C \wedge \bigwedge_i P_n^*(f_i(x, t))$$

We then apply the cell decomposition theorem to the functions  $f_1, \dots, f_r, g_1, g_2$  to get that on each cell  $A$  of the decomposition, we have

$$|g(a, t)|_p^{1/e} = |g_0(a)|_p^{1/e'} |t - c(a)|_p^{\nu/e'}, \quad (3)$$

where  $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $g_0(x)$  is definable. The problem is that  $A \cap B$  is probably not in the nice shape, so one needs to work a little further, and partition  $A \cap B$  further to finally reach a finite partition, consisting of definable sets  $T$  of the form

$$T = \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{Q}_p^{m+1} \mid x \in D, v(a_1(x)) \prec_1 v(t - c(x)) \prec_2 v(a_2(x)), P_n^*(k^{-1}(t - c(x)))\} \quad (5)$$

where  $D \subset \mathbb{Q}_p^m$  is definable. But ... the proof and computation still takes at least one page. One finally gets

$$Z_T(s) = M \sum_{\ell \equiv v(k) \pmod n} p^{-\ell(sv/e'+1)} \int_{F(\ell)} |g_0(x)|_p^{s/e'} |dx|, \quad (9)$$

where  $F(\ell)$  est l'ensemble  $\{x \in D, v(a_1(x)) \prec_1 \ell \prec_2 v(a_2(x))\}$ . And, maybe by refining further, one shows that the values of  $\ell$  are bounded below (by a function depending on  $x$ ), so that using induction, we have computed the integral.